Equity Lens for Decision Making

Below are the current equity lens questions for use in planning, decision-making and implementation for policies, practices, and programs. These are a guide only, and there may be other factors to consider. The Lens is an ever-evolving tool for decision making, that changes as our constructs and understandings change.

SECTION 1: Basic Racial Equity Lens

1. What is the policy, program or decision under review?
2. What racial, cultural and/or ethnic group(s) experience disparities related to this policy, program or decision? Are they at the table? (If not, why?)
3. How might the policy, program or decision affect the group(s)? How might it be perceived by the group(s)?
4. Does the policy, program or decision improve, worsen, or make no change to existing disparities? Please elaborate. Does it result in systemic change that addresses institutional racism?
5. Does the policy, program, or decision produce any intentional benefits or unintended consequences for the affected group(s)?
6. Based on the above responses, what are the possible revisions to the policy, program, or decision under review?
7. What next step is recommended and how will it be advanced?

SECTION 2: Multi-Dimension Equity Lens

(Broad inclusion of multiple as well as intersecting historically marginalized groups and underserved populations) These questions provide more global considerations and speak to macro issues such as policy as well as individual project, program or micro issue decision making, action and implementation.

People
- How have we adequately ensured that our operational processes are inclusive and that elements of the process have not created barriers to meaningful participation?
- Which stakeholder groups would we like to have included but were unable to facilitate?
- Who is affected—positively, negatively, or not at all—by this decision, process, and actions? List positives and negatives.
- What are the specific ways this decision, process, or action, etc. is expected to reduce disparities and advance social justice?
- How have you intentionally involved stakeholders who are also members of the communities affected by the strategic investment or resource allocation? How do you validate your assessment?

Process
- How are our processes supporting the empowerment of communities historically most affected by inequities?
- How are processes ensuring that participants’ emotional and physical safety needs are addressed?
- How are processes supporting participants’ need to be productive and feel valued?
- How are our processes building ongoing community capacity for involvement with Harvard Chan School of Public Health by those communities historically most affected by inequities?
- How are we using this opportunity to contribute to the leadership development of those from marginalized communities?
- What types of biases have influenced the work of your group and how have these been identified and addressed?
- What improvements to team processes can you support for naming and identifying unaddressed bias?
- What have we learned about effective practices that we can recommend being continued by other offices and departments?
- What are the barriers to more equitable outcomes? (e.g. mandated, political, financial, programmatic, or managerial)

Adapted from: Portland State University Equity Lens Assessment Tool