# **POLICY BRIEF**



### Fighting Weight Discrimination in Massachusetts

### Sizeism: A weighty problem, a toxic culture

In March of 2017, a news story from *Cosmopolitan* magazine went viral: "Nail salon blasted for fat-shaming by charging more for 'overweight' customers." Commenters on social media were aghast at this blatant display of sizeism—but in most places across the United States, this kind of discrimination is perfectly legal. And although it seems trivial, it has serious health consequences for people of all sizes. Discrimination based on height and weight is common<sup>2</sup> and contributes to gender and pay inequity. This type of discrimination negatively impacts on health irrespective of size [4]. It increases risky behaviors such as smoking and intoxicated driving<sup>5</sup>, as well as physical inactivity<sup>6</sup>, depression<sup>7</sup>, and suicidality<sup>8</sup>.

Those in the eating disorders community have long known that sizeism is a significant risk factor for eating disorders. The more a person takes negative messages about fat bodies to heart, the likelier s/he is to develop an eating disorder, regardless of how much that person weighs.<sup>9,10</sup> These negative messages come from mass media<sup>11,12</sup>, bullies at school<sup>13</sup>, health care providers14, and even from prospective employers, landlords, and businesses—like the nail salon in *Cosmopolitan* <sup>1,15-17</sup>.

In Massachusetts, it is currently legal to refuse to hire or promote someone, to pay them less or to fire them based on their weight. People may also be refused service or housing or charged more as tenants or customers based on their size. Using body size to justify discrimination creates a toxic culture in which eating disorders can thrive.

Furthermore, weight discrimination can be used as a smokescreen for racial and gender discrimination. As an article in the *Suffolk University Law Review* notes, "employers may be able to conceal legally impermissible discrimination as discrimination on the basis of weight". 18 Obesity rates already follow patterns of social injustice: poverty, female sex, and African-American, Native American and Hispanic/Latino race/ethnicity are all considered risk factors for obesity. 19 As long as weight discrimination is legal, multiple forms of inequity may persist. Reducing weight discrimination is not only an important public health intervention for the prevention of eating disorders, but also an issue of social justice.

### Policy solutions for weight discrimination

Activists and legal scholars have suggested two main strategies to reduce weight discrimination through policy: by defining obesity as a disability under existing disability law or by incorporating weight into existing civil rights laws. Some plaintiffs have been successful in suing for employment discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA); however, this is an

## **POLICY BRIEF**

incomplete solution in terms of both cultural change and reducing weight discrimination. Even individuals of "normal weight" report experiencing weight discrimination or weight-related harassment<sup>20</sup>, and if only medically defined "overweight" and "obesity" per se were covered in the legislation, these individuals might not be protected. **But more than that, being fat is not in itself a disability. Being fat does not mean a person is necessarily less able to perform tasks than a person of so-called "normal" weight would be. Using disability law to prohibit weight discrimination would imply that being fat is disabling--which, in the long run, could reinforce sizeism rather than fighting it.** 

By contrast, incorporating weight into existing civil rights legislation has several advantages from both ideological and practical perspectives. Civil rights laws affirm the inherent worth of fat bodies and can be drafted with broader weight-related language, covering all people regardless of their size. Civil rights laws to prohibit weight discrimination in the workplace have strong public support, with 78% indicating approval in a recent study.<sup>20</sup> Support for laws that would keep employers from making hiring, promotion, or firing decisions is especially strong and has been high and continuing to increase over the last five years.<sup>21-23</sup>

This approach has seen success in Michigan, the only U.S. state thus far to explicitly prohibit discrimination on the basis of height and weight in employment. The state's Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act prohibits discrimination by employers based on a number of factors, with height and weight added in a 1976 amendment.<sup>24</sup>

Using state-level civil rights law also has practical advantages: While disability rights enforcement exists largely at the federal level through the ADA, states often have their own civil rights enforcement mechanisms that can be adapted to include weight discrimination relatively easily.

#### H. 952: Fighting weight discrimination in Massachusetts

For more than a decade, Rep. Byron Rushing (D-Boston) has introduced a bill that would amend Massachusetts' existing civil rights law to incorporate discrimination on the basis of height and weight. This bill, introduced in the 2017-2018 legislative session as H. 952, would protect Massachusetts residents from weight discrimination in employment, housing, and public accommodations. Implementation would be fairly simple, as the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office has a robust Civil Rights Division with policies and procedures in place for evaluating and pursuing complaints, including an online reporting mechanism. STRIPED endorses H. 952 as an evidence-based policy for preventing eating disorders and improving public health.

Full legislative text and information about the bill's current status can be found at <a href="https://malegislature.gov/Bills/190/H952">https://malegislature.gov/Bills/190/H952</a>.

-Policy brief prepared by Monica Kriete, MPH (September 2017)

#### References

- Cosmopolitan. Nail salon blasted for fat-shaming by charging more for 'overweight' customers. 2017 [cited 2017 18 September]; Available from: http://www.cosmopolitan.com/uk/reports/a9155787 /fat-shaming-nail-salon-blasted-charging-moreoverweight-customers/.
- Puhl, R.M., T. Andreyeva, and K.D. Brownell, Perceptions of weight discrimination: prevalence and comparison to race and gender discrimination in America. International Journal of Obesity, 2008. 32(6): p. 992.
- Judge, T.A. and D.M. Cable, When it comes to pay, do the thin win? The effect of weight on pay for men and women. Journal of Applied Psychology, 2011. 96(1): p. 95.
- 4. Vadiveloo, M. and J. Mattei, *Perceived weight discrimination and 10-year risk of allostatic load among US adults.* Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2017. 51(1): p. 94-104.
- 5. Sutin, A.R. and A. Terracciano, *Perceived weight discrimination and high-risk health-related behaviors*. Obesity, 2017. 25(7): p. 1183-1186.
- 6. Jackson, S.E. and A. Steptoe, *Association between perceived weight discrimination and physical activity: a population-based study among English middle-aged and older adults.* BMJ open, 2017. 7(3): p. e014592.
- 7. Robinson, E., A. Sutin, and M. Daly, *Perceived weight discrimination mediates the prospective relation between obesity and depressive symptoms in US and UK adults.* Health Psychology, 2017. 36(2): p. 112.
- Puhl, R.M. and K.M. King, Weight discrimination and bullying. Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2013. 27(2): p. 117-127.
- 9. Schvey, N.A. and M.A. White, *The internalization of weight bias is associated with severe eating pathology among lean individuals.* Eating Behaviors, 2015. 17(Supplement C): p. 1-5.
- 10. Puhl, R.M., C.A. Moss-Racusin, and M.B. Schwartz, *Internalization of weight bias: implications for binge eating and emotional well-being.* Obesity, 2007. 15(1): p. 19-23.
- 11. Brochu, P.M., et al., *Do media portrayals of obesity influence support for weight-related medical policy?* Health Psychology, 2014. 33(2): p. 197-200.
- 12. Ata, R.N. and J.K. Thompson, *Weight bias in the media: a review of recent research.* Obesity Facts, 2010. 3(1): p. 41-46.

- Puhl, R.M. and J. Luedicke, Weight-based victimization among adolescents in the school setting: emotional reactions and coping behaviors. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 2012. 41(1): p. 27-40.
- 14. Schwartz, M.B., et al., *Weight bias among health professionals specializing in obesity*. Obesity Research, 2003. 11(9): p. 1033–1039.
- 15. Kristen, E., *Addressing the problem of weight discrimination in employment.* California Law Review, 2002. 90(1): p. 57-110.
- Fikkan, J. and E. Rothblum, Weight bias in employment, in Weight Bias: Nature, Consequences, and Remedies, K.D. Brownell, et al., Editors. 2005, Guilford Publications: New York, NY, US. p. 15-28.
- 17. Puhl, R.M. and K.D. Brownell, *Bias, discrimination, and obesity.* Obesity Research, 2001. 9(12): p. 788-805.
- 18. Kubilis, C.N., *Weighting for protection in Massachusetts: the myth of equal opportunity in employment.* Suffolk University Law Review, 2008. 42(1): p. 211.
- 19. Mokdad, A.H., E.S. Ford, and B.A. Bowman, Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. JAMA, 2003. 289(1): p. 76-79.
- 20. Andreyeva, T., R.M. Puhl, and K.D. Brownell, Changes in perceived weight discrimination among Americans, 1995–1996 through 2004–2006. Obesity, 2008. 16(5): p. 1129-1134.
- 21. Puhl, R., Y. Suh, and X. Li, *Legislating for weight-based equality: national trends in public support for laws to prohibit weight discrimination.* International Journal of Obesity, 2016. 40: p. 1320-1324.
- 22. Puhl, R.M. and C.A. Heuer, *Public opinion about laws to prohibit weight discrimination in the United States.* Obesity, 2011. 19(1): p. 74-82.
- 23. Suh, Y., et al., Support for laws to prohibit weight discrimination in the united states: Public attitudes from 2011 to 2013. Obesity, 2014. 22(8): p. 1872-1879.
- 24. Legislature, M. *Elliot-Larsen Civil Rights Act* (Excerpt) Act 453 of 1976. 1976 [cited 2017 Sep 18]; Available from: http://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(wbcfl3d324hznkvhbqeemecv))/mileg.aspx?page=getObject&objectname=mcl-37-2202.