**Friday, July 6, 2018 –** 11:30 am -12:00 pm

**Simulation based on 2017 Universal Periodic Review (UPR) of India**

*Melanie Adrian, Alice Han, and Stephen Marks, moderators*

8 roles for UPR (all students will read extracts from 4 UPR documents):

3 Government of India representatives GOI (GOI-1, GOI-2, GOI-3)

2 national delegations (1 Portugal, 1 Pakistan)

3 NGOs (NGO-1, NGO-2, NGO-3)

10:30 - 10:45 Prof. Marks introduces UPR (including) (15minutes)

10:45-10:50 Student GOI-1 presents the national report drawing on the government’s submission in A/HRC/WG.6/27/IND/1, 23 February 2017, (*Extracts*, pp. 1-6) and paras. 5-26 the Report of the Working Group A/HRC/36/10 (*Extracts*, pp. 12-14 (5 minutes)

10:50-10:58 Student representing Portugal speak for itself and Sweden on marital rape (paras. 76, 103, 161.51-161.60 of A/HRC/36/10, *Extracts*, pp. 14 and 18) (3 minutes)

10:58-11:00 Student GOI-2 responds (2 minutes)

11:00-11:03 Dr. Han comments (3 minutes)

11:03-11:06 Student representing Pakistan speaks on self-determination of Kashmiri people (paras. 107, 161.247-250 of A/HRC/36/10, *Extracts*, pp. 15 and 27) (3 minutes)

10:58-11:00 GOI-3 Student responds (2 minutes)

11:00-11:03 Professor Adrian comments (3 minutes)

11:03-11:07 NGO-1 and NGO-2 present on issue of their choice, drawing on A/HRC/WG.6/27/IND/3 (*Extracts,* pp. 10-11) or from <https://www.upr-info.org/en/review/India/Session-27---May-2017/Civil-society-and-other-submissions#top> (each speaking for 2 minutes);

11:07-11:10 Student GOI-1 responds (3 minutes) indicating India’s responses to recommendations (as of 15.12.2017) using the “S” (supported) and “N” (noted) indications in para. 161 of document A/HRC/36/10 (*Extracts*, pp. 15-27.)

11:10-11:13 NGO-3 student takes the position of HRW in “India: Key UN Rights Recommendations Ignored” (3 minutes)

11:13-12:00 Prof. Marks, Prof. Adrian and Dr. Han moderate discussion on such questions as “How can non-Indians in Geneva examine these specifics of Indian policy and practice?”; “Where do they get the information and is it reliable?”; “How is what the Government of Pakistan had to say on self-determination of Kashmiri people relevant to human rights and development?”; “How is what Portugal and Sweden said about marital rape relevant to human rights and development of”; “Is the creation of the UPR procedure an advance or and further politicization of human rights in the UN?”;  etc.