

Feiko ter Kuile, LSTM Overview Malaria in Pregnancy and control

Istanbul 26 June 2012

Overview

- Brief overview malaria in pregnancy
- Overview MiP Control
 - IPTp: concept and evidence for impact
 - Not on uptake (see Next presentations)
- Regimen considerations: impact of frequency of dosing
- Alternative regimens and strategies on the horizon
- Take away messages and potential program implications
- Surprising findings !



1

MALARIA IN PREGNANCY

Burden and clinical presentation



Impact of malaria in pregnancy

Pregnancy

-Increases susceptibility -More infections -More severe

Mother

-Asymptomatic to acute clinical illness / death -Severe anaemia



Effects depend on

-Malaria endemicity -Maternal age -Gravidity -HIV status

Foetus

- -Miscarriage -Stillbirth
- -Low birth weight (2x) IUGR / Preterm

Longer term effects

- -Neonatal and post neonatal mortality
- -Immune modulation (susceptibility)
- -Infant anaemia

-Infant growth?

-neuro-cognitive development?



Africa: Infection risk & impact LBW 32m pregnancies at risk

- 1980s-90s: Guyatt et al 2004
 - Prevalence: 25%
 - Responsible for 19% of LBW (570,000), and 100,000 infant deaths per year
- 2000+: Meta-analysis 97 studies (work-in-progress)
 - Prevalence: 14%
 - Responsible for approximately 300,000 LBW /yr
- Declining trend over time: halved compared to 1990s
- Minimum estimates (based on prevalence!)
- Insufficient data for low/unstable malaria transmission



MALARIA CONTROL IN PREGNANT WOMEN

One of the most common preventable causes of LBW



Current recommendations for control of Malaria in Pregnancy

WHO AFRO

'Strategic framework for malaria prevention and control during pregnancy'

- 1. Case management
- 2. Insecticide treated nets (ITNs)
- 3. Intermittent preventive treatment (IPTp)
- 4. Cotrimoxazole in HIV+ women

Other WHO Regions

- 1. Case management
- 2. Passive case detection
- 3. ITNs +/-





WHO Treatment Guidelines Malaria in Pregnancy 2010

2nd & 3rd trimester

- ACTs (3 days)
- Quinine [+ clindamycin (7d)]
- [Artesunate + clindamycin (7d)]

1st trimester

- Quinine [+ clindamycin (7d)]
- Artemisinins not recommended unless
 - in severe disease
 - no other drugs available
 - Rescue therapy; e.g. Quinine failures







Malaria Control in Pregnancy **PREVENTION**



IPTp with **SP** Concept 1990s

2-dose IPTp reduces

Mean birthweight 79g

10

LBW by 29%

Conception



*Systematic review: Ter Kuile FO, van Eijk AM, Filler SJ; Jama 2007

IPTp strategy Predicated for high transmission areas

Epidemiological features at higher levels of transmission

- Most infections asymptomatic
- High % infected at 1st visit
 = treatment effect
- High % re-infected
 = prevention

• Most consequences in G1/2



IPTp: Are 2 doses of SP enough?

- WHO-AFRO strategic framework
 - HIV-Pos women: 3-doses (if not on CTX)
 - HIV-Neg women: 'at least' 2 doses
- 'At least 1 month between doses'
- 2-dose regimen used in 89% of IPTp countries
 - 3+dose: Ghana, Zambia, Zimbabwe, [Cameroon], [Kenya], [Malawi]
- 2-doses associated with 29% reduction in LBW, but 'only' a 52% reduction in placental malaria





Meta-analysis Kayentao et al 2012

- All trials comparing 2-dose vs 3 or 'monthly' IPTp-SP
- 7 trials conducted between 1995-2011 - 4 trials completed /published since 2010
- 5969 women
- '3+ dose' = Median 4 doses



Impact of 3+ versus 2-dose on MBW; 7 trials

Author, Published, Country	Study Period	% dhps K540E	Bednet use			Mean difference (95% CI)	N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control	% Weight
HIV Negative; G1-2 Parise, 1998, Kenya Filler, 2006, Malawi Luntamo, 2010, Malawi Diakite, 2011, Mali Valea, 2010, Burkina Faso Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%	1994-1996 2002-2005 2003-2006 2006-2008 2006-2008 , p = 0.754)	14 96 86 0 0	11 15 60 17 27			57 (-91, 205) 80 (-24, 184) 100 (-3, 203) 91 (-9, 191) 16 (-75, 107) 67 (20, 114)	85, 3296 (479) 170, 2950 (470) 148, 2850 (459) 151, 2854 (457) 214, 2770 (448) 768	99, 3239 (542) 127, 2870 (440) 168, 2750 (475) 151, 2763 (428) 212, 2754 (508) 757	3.37 6.75 6.89 7.35 8.85 33.21
HIV Negative; G3+ Luntamo, 2010, Malawi Diakite, 2011, Mali Valea, 2010, Burkina Faso Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%	2003-2006 2006-2008 2006-2008 , p = 0.980)	86 0 0	60 17 27			42 (-46, 130) 53 (-28, 134) 52 (-13, 117) 50 (6, 94)	190, 3091 (471) 227, 3039 (393) 301, 3072 (420) 718	189, 3049 (404) 209, 2986 (468) 307, 3020 (394) 705	9.39 11.04 17.47 37.90
HIV Positive; G1-2 Parise, 1998, Kenya Hamer, 2007, Zambia Filler, 2006, Malawi Luntamo, 2010, Malawi Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%	1994-1996 2003-2004 2002-2005 2003-2006 p = 0.730)	14 46 96 86	11 · 25 15 60 ←			27 (-234, 288) 134 (6, 262) 110 (-48, 268) -54 (-412, 304) 102 (12, 192)	28, 3204 (524) 101, 2960 (484) 98, 2850 (540) 17, 2685 (607) 244	39, 3177 (556) 115, 2826 (473) 90, 2740 (560) 12, 2739 (374) 256	1.08 4.47 2.95 0.57 9.07
HIV Positive; G3+ Hamer, 2007, Zambia Luntamo, 2010, Malawi Subtotal (I-squared = 52.8'	2003-2004 2003-2006 %, p = 0.146)	46 86	25 60			9 (-161, 179) 216 (-5, 437) 86 (-49, 221)	78, 3021 (615) 39, 2938 (375) 117	77, 3012 (454) 33, 2722 (548) 110	2.53 1.50 4.04
HIV Status Unknown; G1-2 MacArthur, Unpubl., Tanza Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p	niæ003-2006 = .)	46	56(29,	83)		11 (-57, 79) 11 (-57, 79)	368, 2893 (460) 368	362, 2882 (479) 362	15.78 15.78
Heterogeneity between gro Overall (I-squared = 0.0%,	ups: p = 0.53 p = 0.861)	3				56 (29, 83)	2215	2190	100.00
-300 -200 -100 0 100 200 300									

2-dose better 3+ dose better

Impact of 3+ vs 2-dose IPTp-SP on birth weight (fixed effect models)

	LBW RR (95% CI)	Diff. in Mean Birth-Weight (95% CI)	N Stu dies	Across studies I ²
All	0.79 (0.68, 0.92)	56 (29, 83)	7	0%
HIV-neg	0.76 (0.62, 0.93)	58 (26, 89)	5	0%
HIV-pos	0.81 (0.58, 1.15)	97 (22, 172)	4	0%
G1-G2	0.80 (0.67, 0.94)	57 (22, 93)	7	0%
G3 +	0.77 (0.54, 1.10)	53 (12, 95)	4	0%



S

IPTp-SP: meta-analysis 7 trials Adding 3rd and 4th dose improves birthweight

- No evidence for heterogeneity
 - across trials ($I^2=0\%$)
 - across subgroups (l²=0%)
- Benefit of extra dose evident in
 - all gravidae groups,
 - HIV-negative and HIV-positive women,
 - Net users and non-users
 - Low and high resistance areas
 - 0 to 96% DHPS 540 mutation



IPTp-SP: meta-analysis 7 trials Adding 3rd and 4th dose improves birthweight

Example of added benefit Placebo: 20% LBW 2-dose: 29% reduction to14.3% 3+dose: extra 21% reduction to 11.3%

Conclusion

More complete coverage during 2nd+3rd trimester provides better improvements in birthweight than the standard 2-dose regimen of IPTp-SP



3+dose IPTp Policy implications?

- 2-dose policy used in 32/37 (86%) countries
- More frequent dosing should be considered

 Areas low to high resistance where DHPS-581 mutation is rare (most of Africa today)
- Operationally easier to implement as part of FANC?
- May reduces 'missed opportunities'
- Increases coverage of at least 2 doses
- Important lesson for next generation drugs





IMPACT SP RESISTANCE

IPTp



SP resistance shortens duration posttreatment prophylaxis

Drug concentrations



White N. Malaria Journal 2008; 7:9



Impact of SP Resistance on IPTp efficacy WHO TEG IPTp meeting July 2007

Feiko O. ter Kuile, MD, PhD Annemieke M. van Eijk, MD, PhD Scott J. Filler, MD, DTMH Effect of Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine Resistance on the Efficacy of Intermittent Preventive Therapy for Malaria Control During Pregnancy A Systematic Review JAMA, June 20, 2007—Vol 297, No. 23

- IPTp-SP remains highly effective even in areas with 25% failure by D14 in children (40% by day 28)
- No data from high SP resistance areas (yet)
- 3+ doses SP may 'buy time', but alternative antimalarials soon required
- Reserve SP for IPT(p)



High grade SP resistance in Tanzania fitness advantage result in higher densities

Competitive facilitation of drug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites in pregnant women who receive preventive treatment

W. E. Harrington^{a,b}, T. K. Mutabingwa^{a,c}, A. Muehlenbachs^{a,d}, B. Sorensen^a, M. C. Bolla^a, M. Fried^{a,b}, and P. E. Duffy^{a,b,1}

^aSeattle Biomedical Research Institute, 307 Westlake Avenue N, Seattle, WA 98109; ^bUniversity of Washington, Department of Global Health, Harborview Medical Center, 325 9th Avenue, Box 359931, Seattle, WA 98104; ^cNational Institute of Medical Research, P.O. Box 9653, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; and ^dUniversity of Washington, Department of Pathology, Box 357470, Seattle, WA 98195-7470

- Quintuple mutations saturated (>95%): [DHFR (3x) and DHPS (2x)]
- Additional DHPS 581 mutation associated with less parasite diversity, higher parasite densities, more placental inflammation
- High grade resistant parasites have a fitness advantage resulting in MORE malaria; taking IPTp with SP potentially harmful





IPTp-SP effectiveness Malawi 2010

- DHFR/DHPS quintuple combined haplotype: 90+%
- 42-day In-vivo follow-up (not PCR corrected)
 - G1+2: 49% failure
 - G3+: 25% failure
 - Compared to 5% in 2000
- Delivery: effect of IPTp-SP
 - No impact on placental malaria
 - Significant impact on growth retardation in primigravidae





SP resistance and IPTp effectiveness Preliminary conclusions

- Evidence for decreasing efficacy over time with increasing SP resistance, but,...
 - continued benefit in primigravidae in areas where quintuple dhfr/dhps haplotype is saturated (90%+),
 - albeit less than in with low SP resistance
- No evidence for harm through competative faciliation in areas where quintuple dhfr/dhps haplotype is saturated, but additional mutations in dhps 581 or dphs 164 are absent or rare
- Difficult to monitor: methodology important



ALTERNATIVES TO SP



IPTp potential alternative drugs Long-acting drugs needed

- Initially treatment effect considered important
- IPTi (infants) 2 trials: short vs long-acting drugs
 - Odhiambo et al, PlosOne 2010 (CD vs SP_{AS} vs AQ_{AS})
 - Gosling et al, Lancet, 2009 (CD, SP, MQ)
- Long acting drugs much more effective than CD
- Conclusions
 - Effect not sustained beyond window of pharmacological protection (no lasting 'vaccine' effect)
 - Short-acting drugs provide little (if any) benefit
 - Drugs with protracted suppressive activity needed for prophylaxis



Alternative antimalarials for IPTp Ongoing trials

Funding	Drugs	Countries		
MIPc	Mefloquine (MQ) mono	Benin, Gabon, Mozmbq, Tanz.		
MIPc	MQ + Cotrimoxazole (HIV+)	Kenya, Mozmbq, Tanzania		
MIPc	SP + Azithromycin (AZ)	PNG		
MIPc / MRC	DHA-Piperaquine	Kenya, Indonesia		
NiH	Chloroquine (CQ) mono	Malawi		
Pfizer	CQ + AZ	5 countries Africa		

More expensive, more complex split dose multi-day regimens, less well tolerated and less available than SP



CHALLENGE OF DECLINING TRANSMISSION



Malaria transmission declining Role IPTp in low transmission areas?

White N. Malaria Journal 2008; 7:9



Intermittent Screening and Treatment 'ISTp': Concept

- Scheduled screening by RDTs as part of focused ANC
 - E.g. 3 or 4 times in $2^{nd} + 3^{rd}$ trimester
 - Among women protected by ITNs
- Treat RDT positive women with a long acting ACT
 - 1. Early detection & treatment of asymptomatic malaria
 - 2. Prophylactic effect

ProConDrug exposure restricted to those
that need it (80:20); primigravidae,
peak season, 'hot spots', etcMore complex, expensive
Gaps, missing subpatent infections

• Integration with screening for anaemia, HIV and STIs



Intermittent Screening and Treatment ISTp results todate



Conclusions & Recommendations

- Period major changes, challenges & opportunities
- Challenges MiP Control
 - Low Uptake
 - Increased resistance
 - decreasing transmission



Key 'Take away' messages

- 1. 3+ doses more effective than 2-dose regimens
 - In all gravidae, net users and non-users, HIV+ and HIV-
 - in low to high grade SP resistance areas (excluding dhps-540)
 - IPTp-SP likely to have long shelf life in western-Africa
 - Simpler regimen \rightarrow FANC positive impact on uptake?
- 2. Continued effectiveness IPTp-SP despite resistance
 - Remain vigilant: potentially harmful if DHPS-581 common?
- 3. Trial results next IPTp drugs & IST available 2013-14



Application to programs

- 1. IPTp-SP is likely to remain key component MiP control for several years, especially in W-Africa
- 2. WHO 9-11 July review impact SP-resistance:
 - Some re-assurance about impact of SP-resistance in east and southern Africa
 - However monitoring Mol. markers SP resistance required
- 3. Moving away from 2 doses allows for better alignment with FANC
 - Could simplification of guidelines increase uptake?
- 4. Likely to see more variation in MiP control strategies
 - Move away from one-size-fits all;
 - Multiple strategies per region and country



malaria in pregnancy consortium

http://www.mip-consortium.org/

MiPc Portal Log On (Members Only) Portal Login Help

go

Latest news



Consortium partners at 3rd annual meeting in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, June 2010.

Click here to view our latest press releases.

Malaria in Pregnancy Consortium

Welcome to the website of the Malaria in Pregnancy (MiP) Consortium. The MiP Consortium is a global research initiative of 47 research institutions, led by the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, undertaking a five year programme of research (2007-2012) to evaluate new and improved existing interventions for the prevention and treatment of malaria in pregnancy, which places up to 50 million women at risk every year.

Ten major projects direct research in four key areas of malaria in pregnancy: burden assessment, prevention, treatment and how best to scale up existing strategies and interventions. Expert institutions from all over the world are involved in conducting this research and sharing information to provide the evidence needed to improve the control of malaria in pregnancy. The MiP Consortium is supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP) and the European Union. The Secretariat is based at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine.



Home

About Us

Our Organisation

Projects

MiP Resources

Partner Links

Contact Us



About MiP

Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major cause of severe maternal anaemia and preventable low birth



Projects

The MiP Consortium is involved in a wide range of activities in Africa, Asia and Latin America. <u>Browse our</u>



Partners

The MiP Consortium joins 47 partner institutions across 32 countries globally.



Resource Centre

The MiP Consortium hosts a resource centre managed by the Secretariat at LSTM. The Malaria in Pregnancy Library

Acknowledgements

- Members of MIP Consortium who contributed to discussions
- This work was partly funded by
 - the MiP Consortium which is funded through a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and
 - EDCTP

