

COMMENTS ON ROBINSON, HAMMITT AND O'KEEFFE

Maureen Cropper
University of Maryland and Resources for the Future

Guidelines for Benefit-Cost Analysis
November 2, 2017

Valuing Mortality Risks in Low and Middle Income Countries

- Given the small number of high-quality, original studies in LMICs, must rely on benefits transfer (BT)
- Judge whether benefits transfer produces a reasonable value of the VSL/Y
 - VSL/Y ratio, divided by 100, indicates the percent of income a person would spend on a 1 in 10,000 risk reduction
 - VSL/Y = 170 implies would spend 1.7% of income
 - VSL/Y = 50 implies would spend 0.5% of income
- High-quality studies and common sense suggest that this ratio is lower in poor countries—where people may spend 40% of their income on food—than in rich

BT Procedures Suggested in the Paper

- Base VSLs for transferring values are US VSLs
- Use PPP GNI per capita to transfer VSL from USA to target country (in PPP dollars)
 - Also convert VSL to USD using market exchange rates
- Conduct four different transfers:
 - \$9 million base; elasticity = 1 ($VSL/Y = 170$, all countries)
 - \$4.2 million base; elasticity = 1 ($VSL/Y = 80$, all countries)
 - \$9 million base; elasticity = 1.4 (VSL/Y varies with Y)
 - \$4.2 million base; elasticity = 1.4 (VSL/Y varies with Y)

VSL Transfer for Malawi, 2015

(GNI pc = \$1,130, PPP; \$340 USD)

	VSL (PPP)	VSL (MER)	VSL/Y
\$9 million base; elasticity = 1	\$190,000	\$58,000	170
\$4.32 million base; elasticity = 1	\$90,000	\$27,000	80
\$9 million base; elasticity = 1.4	\$41,000	\$12,000	36
\$4.32 million base; elasticity = 1.4	\$19,000	\$5,800	17

VSL Transfer for India, 2015

(GNI pc = \$6,050 PPP; \$1,600 USD)

	VSL (PPP)	VSL (MER)	VSL/Y
\$9 million base; elasticity = 1	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	170
\$4.32 million base; elasticity = 1	\$480,000	\$130,000	80
\$9 million base; elasticity = 1.4	\$430,000	\$110,000	71
\$4.32 million base; elasticity = 1.4	\$200,000	\$53,000	33

Can The Transfers Be Validated?

- Existing literature in LMICs is very thin
 - Need to apply additional criteria to the 25 studies cited in the paper
 - Many wage-risk studies are based on a single cross-section of data and find implausible results
 - Madheswaran (2007) finds a VSL of Rs.15.4 million for workers with an average annual wage of Rs. 16,000
 - Need to establish criteria for these studies, just as stated-preference studies are subject to scope tests
 - Would look at ratio of VSL to income of *study population* in high-quality studies to inform VSL/Y ratio

What Should Be Done in the Short Run?

- Best studies reported (and common sense) suggest that a VSL/Y ratio of 170 is too high for low-income countries (GNI pc < \$1,025 USD in 2015)
- I would say the same holds for low-middle income countries (\$1,026 < GNI pc < \$4,035 USD in 2015)
- Median VSL/Y ratio for LMICs in report of *Lancet Commission on Pollution and Health* are:

World Bank Income Region	Median VSL/Y Ratio
Upper middle income	96
Lower middle income	64
Low income	50

Concluding Comments

- What matters is the ratio of VSL/Y and how it varies with income
 - If VSL/Y drives benefits transfer, appropriate elasticities for transfer will be determined by the base VSL
- Arguing for a VSL/Y ratio = 170 in low and low-middle income countries seems too high
- OECD estimates imply a $VSL/Y = 100$
- Many high income governments use a VSL that implies an even lower VSL/Y ratio:
 - Australia: 60
 - United Kingdom: 62
 - Norway: 20-33